

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/897,480 | 07/03/2001 | Michio Matsuura | 1083.1082 | 2498 | |
| 21171 7 | 590 12/28/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| STAAS & HALSEY LLP | | | SHIFERAW, ELENI A | | |
| SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | N, DC 20005 | | 2136 | " | |

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 09/897,480 | MATSUURA ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Eleni A. Shiferaw | 2136 | | |

| | Eleni A. Shiferaw | 2136 | | | | |
|--|---|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 12 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion following time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). | sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th in SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o | f the final rejection. | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compositing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expense a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal o | of the appeal. | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | because | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet | | educing or simplifying | the issues for | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | ejected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | vill be entered and an | explanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-21. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after | entry is below or attac | ched. | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application | in condition for allowa | ince because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) | | | | |
| 13. Other: | \mathcal{C} | l | | | | |
| | Pa | Nay Examine 12131 | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The request for reconsideration has been fully considered. However the amendments made on claims 1-3, 6-12, and 15-21 raise further consideration and/or search: "storing/extracting the stored contents." is different from " storing/extracting the stored contents as requested by the user" and "extracting the stored contents, based on....first specifying information"; and/or "... medium" is different from " ... medium prepared by the user". Therefore the rejections for claims 1-21 are respectfully maintained.